arXiv:1602.01573v6 [math-ph] 24 Dec 2016

A REFORMULATION OF THE GENERALIZED q-PAINLEVÉ VI SYSTEM WITH $W(A^{(1)}_{2n+1})$ SYMMETRY

TAKAO SUZUKI

Abstract. In the previous work we introduced the higher order q-Painlevé system q-$P_{(n+1,n+1)}$ as a generalization of the Jimbo-Sakai's q-Painlevé VI equation. It is derived from a q-analogue of the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy of type $A^{(1)}_{2n+1}$ and admits a particular solution in terms of the Heine's q-hypergeometric function ${}_{n+1}\phi_n$. However the obtained system is insufficient as a generalization of q-$P_{\rm VI}$ due to some reasons. In this article we rewrite the system q-$P_{(n+1,n+1)}$ to a more suitable one.

Key Words: Discrete Painlevé equations, Affine Weyl groups, Basic hypergeometric functions.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39A13, 33D15, 34M55.

1. Introduction

Several generalizations of the Painlevé VI equation ($P_{\rm VI}$) have been proposed ([1, 3, 5, 11, 12, 14, 15, 19]). We focus on the higher order Painlevé system $P_{(n+1,n+1)}$ given in [1, 14], or equivalently the Schlesinger system $\mathcal{H}_{n+1,1}$ given in [19], among them. It can be regarded as a generalization from a viewpoint of a particular solution in terms of the hypergeometric function ${}_{n+1}F_n$ ([13, 20]). The aim of this article is to introduce its q-analogue. This q-difference equation becomes a generalization of the q-Painlevé VI equation (q-$P_{\rm VI}$) given in [4].

The investigation of generalizations of q-$P_{\rm VI}$ has been developed in recent years ([8, 9, 10, 16, 18]). In the previous work [16] we proposed the higher order q-Painlevé system q-$P_{(n+1,n+1)}$, whose explicit formula will be given in Section 2, as a q-analogue of $P_{(n+1,n+1)}$. It is derived from the q-Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy of type $A^{(1)}_{2n+1}$, contains q-$P_{\rm VI}$ in the case of $n = 1$ and admits a particular solution in terms of the q-hypergeometric function ${}_{n+1}\phi_n$. However this system is insufficient as a generalization of q-$P_{\rm VI}$ due to the following two reasons.

(1) The system q-$P_{(n+1,n+1)}$ is probably reducible and reduces to a system of $2n$-th order.
(2) We do not express the backward q-shifts $(\underline{x_i}, \underline{y_i})$ as functions in (x_i, y_i).

The aim of this article is to solve those two problems. We reduce the system q-$P_{(n+1,n+1)}$ to a more suitable one as a generalization of q-$P_{\rm VI}$.

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the definition of q-$P_{(n+1,n+1)}$ and its properties, namely a Lax pair, an affine Weyl group symmetry, a relationship with q-$P_{\rm VI}$ and a particular solution in terms of ${}_{n+1}\phi_n$. In Section 3 we formulate a system of q-difference equations of $2n$-th order which is equivalent to q-$P_{\rm VI}$ in the case of $n = 1$. It is the main result of this article. In Section 4 we describe an action of the affine Weyl group on the $2n$-th order system given in the previous section.

2. Review: Higher order q-Painlevé system q-$P_{(n+1,n+1)}$

In the following we use notations

$$\overline{x(t)} = x(qt), \quad \underline{x(t)} = x(q^{-1}t),$$

where $t, q \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|q| < 1$.

The system $q\text{-}P_{(n+1,n+1)}$ given in [16] is expressed as a system of q-difference equations

$$\begin{cases} x_{i-1} - x_i = \dfrac{b_{i-1}\underline{x_{i-1}}}{1 + \underline{x_{i-1}}y_{i-1}} - \dfrac{a_i\underline{x_i}}{1 + \underline{x_i}y_{i-1}} \\ \underline{y_{i-1}} - \underline{y_i} = \dfrac{a_i y_{i-1}}{1 + \underline{x_i}y_{i-1}} - \dfrac{b_i y_i}{1 + \underline{x_i}y_i} \end{cases} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n+1),$$

with a constraint

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i \frac{1 + \underline{x_i}y_i}{1 + \underline{x_i}y_{i-1}} = q^{-n/2}, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$b_0 = qb_{n+1}, \quad x_0 = tx_{n+1}, \quad y_0 = \frac{q}{t}y_{n+1}.$$

Remark 2.1. *In the previous work* [18] *a higher order generalizations of $q\text{-}P_{\mathrm{VI}}$ were presented by Tsuda. Since his q-Painlevé system can be regarded as a q-analogue of the Schlesinger system $\mathcal{H}_{n+1,1}$, we conjecture that his system coinsides with $q\text{-}P_{(n+1,n+1)}$. However a relationship between both q-Painlevé systems has not been clarified yet.*

We derived the system $q\text{-}P_{(n+1,n+1)}$ by a similarity reduction from the q-Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy of type $A^{(1)}_{2n+1}$. Hence the following theorem is obtained naturally via the construction of the system.

Theorem 2.2 ([16])**.** *The system $q\text{-}P_{(n+1,n+1)}$ is given as the compatibility conditon of a system of linear q-difference equations*

$$\Psi(q^{-1}z, t) = M(z, t)\Psi(z, t), \quad \Psi(z, q^{-1}t) = B(z, t)\Psi(z, t). \tag{2.2}$$

with $(2n+2) \times (2n+2)$ matrices

$$M(z,t) = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & \varphi_1 & -1 & & & & & & \\ & b_1 & \varphi_2 & -1 & & & & & \\ & & a_2 & \varphi_3 & -1 & & & & \\ & & & b_2 & \varphi_4 & & & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & & & & \varphi_{2n-1} & -1 & \\ & & & & & & b_n & \varphi_{2n} & -1 \\ -tz & & & & & & & a_{n+1} & \varphi_{2n+1} \\ \varphi_0 z & -z & & & & & & & b_{n+1} \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$B(z,t)=\begin{bmatrix} u_1 & v_1 & -1 & & & & & & \\ & u_2 & v_2 & 0 & & & & & \\ & & u_3 & v_3 & -1 & & & & \\ & & & u_4 & v_4 & & & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & & & & v_{2n-1} & -1 & \\ & & & & & & u_{2n} & v_{2n} & 0 \\ -tz & & & & & & & u_{2n+1} & v_{2n+1} \\ v_0 z & 0 & & & & & & & u_{2n+2} \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi_{2i-2}=x_{i-1}-x_i, \quad \varphi_{2i-1}=y_{i-1}-y_i \quad (i=1,\dots,n+1),\\ &u_{2i-1}=\frac{a_i}{1+\underline{x_i}y_{i-1}}, \quad u_{2i}=1+\underline{x_i}y_i, \quad v_{2i-1}=-y_i \quad (i=1,\dots,n+1),\\ &v_0=t\underline{x_{n+1}}, \quad v_{2i}=\underline{x_i} \quad (i=1,\dots,n). \end{aligned}$$

The system q-$P_{(n+1,n+1)}$ admits the affine Weyl group symmetry of type $A^{(1)}_{2n+1}$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $r_0,\dots,r_{2n+1}$ be birational transformations defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} &r_{2j-2}(a_j)=b_{j-1}, \quad r_{2j-2}(b_{j-1})=a_j, \quad r_{2j-2}(x_{j-1})=x_{j-1}, \quad r_{2j-2}(y_{j-1})=y_{j-1}-\frac{b_{j-1}-a_j}{x_{j-1}-x_j},\\ &r_{2j-2}(a_i)=a_i, \quad r_{2j-2}(b_{i-1})=b_{i-1}, \quad r_{2j-2}(x_{i-1})=x_{i-1}, \quad r_{2j-2}(y_{i-1})=y_{i-1} \quad (i\neq j), \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

for $j=1,\dots,n+1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} &r_{2j-1}(a_j)=b_j \quad r_{2j-1}(b_j)=a_j, \quad r_{2j-1}(x_j)=x_j-\frac{a_j-b_j}{y_{j-1}-y_j}, \quad r_{2j-1}(y_j)=y_j,\\ &r_{2j-1}(a_i)=a_i, \quad r_{2j-1}(b_i)=b_i, \quad r_{2j-1}(x_i)=x_i, \quad r_{2j-1}(y_i)=y_i \quad (i\neq j), \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

for $j=1,\dots,n+1$. Also let π be a birational transformation defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &\pi(a_i)=q^{-\rho_1}b_i, \quad \pi(b_i)=q^{-\rho_1}a_{i+1} \quad (i=1,\dots,n),\\ &\pi(a_{n+1})=q^{-\rho_1}b_{n+1}, \quad \pi(b_{n+1})=q^{-\rho_1-1}a_1, \quad \pi(\rho_1)=-\rho_1-\frac{1}{n+1},\\ &\pi(x_i)=q^{-2\rho_1}t^{\rho_1}\underline{y_i}, \quad \pi(y_i)=q^{\rho_1}t^{-\rho_1}\underline{x_{i+1}} \quad (i=1,\dots,n),\\ &\pi(x_{n+1})=q^{-2\rho_1}t^{\rho_1}\underline{y_{n+1}}, \quad \pi(y_{n+1})=q^{\rho_1+1}t^{-\rho_1-1}\underline{x_1}, \quad \pi(t)=\frac{q^2}{t}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$q^{\rho_1}=(q^n a_1b_1\dots a_{n+1}b_{n+1})^{1/(n+1)}.$$

Then the system q-$P_{(n+1,n+1)}$ is invariant under actions of the transformations $r_0,\dots,r_{2n+1}$ and π. Furthermore the group of symmetries $\langle r_0,\dots,r_{2n+1},\pi\rangle$ is isomorphic to the extended affine Weyl group of type $A^{(1)}_{2n+1}$. Namely those transformations satisfy the fundamental relations

$$\begin{aligned} &r_i^2=1, \quad (r_ir_j)^{2-a_{i,j}}=1 \quad (i,j=0,\dots,2n+1;\, i\neq j),\\ &\pi^{2n+2}=1, \quad \pi r_i=r_{i+1}\pi, \quad \pi r_{2n+1}=r_0\pi \quad (i=0,\dots,2n), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &a_{i,i} = 2 && (i = 0, \dots, 2n+1),\\ &a_{i,i+1} = a_{2n+1,0} = a_{i+1,i} = a_{0,2n+1} = -1 && (i = 0, \dots, 2n),\\ &a_{i,j} = 0 && (\textit{otherwise}). \end{aligned}$$

The system q-$P_{(2,2)}$ can be reduced to q-P_{VI}.

Theorem 2.4 ([16])**.** *If, in the system q-$P_{(2,2)}$, we set*

$$f = \frac{t(x_2 - x_1)\xi_1}{\xi_2}, \quad g = \frac{\underline{x_2}(qt + \underline{x_1}y_2)\psi_1}{(1 + \underline{x_2}y_2)\psi_2}, \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1 &= (x_1 - x_2)(y_0 - y_1) - (a_1 - b_1),\\ \xi_2 &= (tx_2 - x_1)(x_1 - x_2)(y_0 - y_1) + (a_1 - b_1)x_1 + \{(b_1 - a_2)t - (a_1 - a_2)\}x_2,\\ \psi_1 &= q^{1/2}(q^{1/2} - a_1b_1t)\underline{x_2}y_2 + (1 - q^{1/2}a_1b_1)t,\\ \psi_2 &= q^{1/2}a_2(q^{1/2} - a_1b_1t)\underline{x_1x_2}y_2 + a_1(1 - q^{1/2}b_1a_2)t\underline{x_1} - (a_1 - a_2)t\underline{x_2}, \end{aligned}$$

then they satisfy the q-Painlevé VI equation

$$\frac{f\overline{f}}{\alpha_3\alpha_4} = \frac{(\overline{g} - t\beta_1)(\overline{g} - t\beta_2)}{(\overline{g} - \beta_3)(\overline{g} - \beta_4)}, \quad \frac{g\overline{g}}{\beta_3\beta_4} = \frac{(f - t\alpha_1)(f - t\alpha_2)}{(f - \alpha_3)(f - \alpha_4)},$$

with parameters

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha_1 = 1, \quad \alpha_2 = q^{1/2}a_1b_1, \quad \alpha_3 = 1, \quad \alpha_4 = \frac{1}{q^{1/2}a_2b_2},\\ &\beta_1 = q^{1/2}b_1, \quad \beta_2 = q^{1/2}a_1, \quad \beta_3 = \frac{1}{qa_2}, \quad \beta_4 = \frac{1}{b_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.5. *In* [16] *we defined the transformation π by*

$$\begin{aligned} &\pi(a_i) = b_i, \quad \pi(b_i) = a_{i+1} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n), \quad \pi(a_{n+1}) = b_{n+1}, \quad \pi(b_{n+1}) = \frac{a_1}{q},\\ &\pi(x_i) = \underline{y_i}, \quad \pi(y_i) = \underline{x_{i+1}} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n), \quad \pi(x_{n+1}) = \underline{y_{n+1}}, \quad \pi(y_{n+1}) = \frac{q}{t}\underline{x_1}, \quad \pi(t) = \frac{q^2}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

As a matter of fact, unless we replace constraint (2.1) *with*

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{a_i^{1/2}}{b_i^{1/2}} \frac{1 + \underline{x_i}y_i}{1 + \underline{x_i}y_{i-1}} = q^{1/4},$$

the system q-$P_{(n+1,n+1)}$ is not invariant under an action of π. If we do so, then the system q-$P_{(2,2)}$ seems to reduce not to q-P_{VI} but to a q-analogue of the Painlevé V equation. This q-difference equation was derived in [7] *from a binational representation of the extended affine Weyl group of type $A_1^{(1)} \times A_3^{(1)}$ given in* [6]*. Afterward that equation was found to be a subsystem of q-P_{VI} in* [17].

The system q-$P_{(n+1,n+1)}$ admits a particular solution in terms of the q-hypergeometric function ${}_{n+1}\phi_n$ defined by the formal power series

$${}_{n+1}\phi_n\left[\begin{matrix}\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}\\ \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\end{matrix}; q, t\right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1; q)_k \dots (\alpha_n; q)_k (\alpha_{n+1}; q)_k}{(\beta_1; q)_k \dots (\beta_n; q)_k (q; q)_k} t^k,$$

where $(\alpha;q)_k$ stands for the q-shifted factorial

$$(\alpha;q)_0 = 1, \quad (\alpha;q)_k = (1-\alpha)(1-q\alpha)\dots(1-q^{k-1}\alpha) \quad (k \geq 1).$$

Theorem 2.6 ([16]). *If, in the system q-$P_{(n+1,n+1)}$, we assume that*

$$y_i = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n+1), \quad \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i = q^{-n/2},$$

then a vector of the variables $\mathbf{x} = {}^t[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}]$ satisfies a system of linear q-difference equations

$$\overline{\mathbf{x}} = \left(A_0 + \frac{A_1}{1-qt}\right)\mathbf{x}, \tag{2.7}$$

with $(n+1)\times(n+1)$ matrices

$$A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & b_2-a_2 & b_3-a_3 & \dots & b_n-a_n & b_{n+1}-a_{n+1} \\ & b_2 & b_3-a_3 & \dots & b_n-a_n & b_{n+1}-a_{n+1} \\ & & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & & & b_n-a_n & b_{n+1}-a_{n+1} \\ & O & & & b_n & b_{n+1}-a_{n+1} \\ & & & & & b_{n+1} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1-b_1 & a_2-b_2 & a_3-b_3 & \dots & a_n-b_n & a_{n+1}-b_{n+1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Furthermore system (2.7) *admits a solution*

$$\mathbf{x} = t^{-\log_q a_1} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \phi_{n+1} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\phi_j = \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{b_i - a_1}{a_{i+1} - a_1} {}_{n+1}\phi_n \left[\begin{matrix} q\frac{a_1}{b_1}, \dots, q\frac{a_1}{b_{j-1}}, \frac{a_1}{b_j}, \dots, \frac{a_1}{b_n}, \frac{a_1}{b_{n+1}} \\ q\frac{a_1}{a_2}, \dots, q\frac{a_1}{a_j}, \frac{a_1}{a_{j+1}}, \dots, \frac{a_1}{a_n} \end{matrix} ; q, q^{(n+2)/2} b_1 \dots b_{n+1} t \right].$$

Therefore we want to regard the system q-$P_{(n+1,n+1)}$ as a generalization of q-P_{VI} from a viewpoint of a particular solution in terms of the q-hypergeometric function. However, as is seen in Section 1, we have two problems. In [16] we derived q-P_{VI} by reducing linear system (2.2) to the one with 2×2 matrices given in [4] from q-$P_{(2,2)}$. We could not use a similar method in a general case, although we reduced linear system (2.2) to the one with $(n+1)\times(n+1)$ matrices in [2]. Definition of dependent variables (2.6) is complicated and hence unsuitable for a generalization. In this article we choose a suitable set of $2n$ dependent variables (f_i, g_i) and reduce the system q-$P_{(n+1,n+1)}$ to a one of $2n$-th order. In the obtained system the forward q-shifts $(\overline{f}_i, \overline{g}_i)$ is expressed as functions in (f_i, g_i).

3. Main result

The key to solving is the affine Weyl group symmetry of q-$P_{(n+1,n+1)}$. We can simplify definition of dependent variables (2.6) as

$$r_1r_2(f) = -t\frac{x_1 - x_2}{tx_2 - x_1}, \quad r_1r_2(g) = \frac{a_2 t}{q^{1/2}}\frac{\underline{x_2}(1 + \underline{x_1}y_1)}{\underline{x_1}(1 + \underline{x_2}y_1)}.$$

This fact suggests a choice of dependent variables of a $2n$-th order system.

Theorem 3.1. *If, in the system q-$P_{(n+1,n+1)}$, we set*

$$f_i = t\frac{x_i - x_{i+1}}{tx_{n+1} - x_1}, \quad g_i = a_{i+1}\frac{\underline{x_{i+1}}(1 + \underline{x_i}y_i)}{\underline{x_i}(1 + \underline{x_{i+1}}y_i)} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n), \tag{3.1}$$

then they satisfy a system of q-difference equations

$$f_i\overline{f}_i = qt\frac{F_iF_{i+1}\overline{g}_0(b_i - \overline{g}_i)(\overline{g}_i - a_{i+1})}{F_{n+1}F_1\overline{g}_i(b_0 - \overline{g}_0)(\overline{g}_0 - a_1)} \qquad (i = 1, \dots, n), \tag{3.2}$$

$$g_i\overline{g}_i = \frac{F_{i+1}G_i}{F_iG_{i+1}} \qquad (i = 1, \dots, n), \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$b_0 = qb_{n+1}, \quad g_0 = \frac{1}{q^{(n-2)/2}t}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\frac{1}{g_i} = \frac{qa_1}{t}\frac{\underline{x_1}(1 + \underline{x_{n+1}}y_{n+1})}{\underline{x_{n+1}}(1 + \underline{x_1}y_0)} = a_1\frac{\underline{x_1}(1 + \underline{x_0}y_0)}{\underline{x_0}(1 + \underline{x_1}y_0)},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
F_i &= \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} f_j + t\sum_{j=i}^{n} f_j + t,\\
G_i &= \sum_{j=i}^{n}\prod_{k=i}^{j-1} b_ka_{k+1}\frac{\prod_{l=j+1}^{n} g_l}{\prod_{l=1}^{j-1} g_l}f_j + q^{n/2}t\prod_{k=i}^{n} b_ka_{k+1}\\
&\quad + q^nt\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}\frac{b_{n+1}a_1\prod_{k=1}^{n} b_ka_{k+1}}{\prod_{k=j}^{i-1} b_ka_{k+1}}\frac{\prod_{l=j+1}^{n} g_l}{\prod_{l=1}^{j-1} g_l}f_j \quad (i = 1, \dots, n+1).
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore if we set $h = tx_{n+1} - x_1$, then it satisfies a linear q-difference equation

$$\overline{h} = -\frac{F_{n+1}F_1(b_0 - \overline{g}_0)(\overline{g}_0 - a_1)}{t(t-1)^2\overline{g}_0}h. \tag{3.4}$$

System (3.2), (3.3) is equivalent to q-P_{VI} in the case of $n = 1$. In this section we prove this theorem. We also discuss the relationship between the dependent variables f_i, g_i, h $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ and the ones x_j, y_j $(j = 1, \dots, n+1)$ in more detail at the end of this section.

3.1. **Proof of equation** (3.2)**.**

Definition of dependent variables (3.1) implies

$$g_i - a_{i+1} = -a_{i+1}\frac{\underline{x_i} - \underline{x_{i+1}}}{\underline{x_i}(1 + \underline{x_{i+1}}y_i)} \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n). \tag{3.5}$$

The first equation of q-$P_{(n+1,n+1)}$ can be rewritten to

$$b_i - g_i = \frac{1 + \underline{x_i}y_i}{\underline{x_i}}(x_i - x_{i+1}) \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n). \tag{3.6}$$

Combining them, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{(b_0-g_0)(g_0-a_1)}{g_0} &= -\frac{(tx_{n+1}-x_1)(tx_{n+1}-x_1)}{tx_{n+1}x_1},\\ \frac{(b_i-g_i)(g_i-a_{i+1})}{g_i} &= -\frac{(x_i-x_{i+1})(x_i-x_{i+1})}{x_ix_{i+1}} \quad (i=1,\dots,n).\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Hence we can derive equation (3.2) by using

$$F_i = \frac{t(t-1)x_i}{tx_{n+1}-x_1} \quad (i=1,\dots.n+1).$$

3.2. **Proof of equations** (3.3) **and** (3.4)**.** Equation (3.5) can be rewritten to

$$\begin{aligned}y_0 &= -\frac{x_0g_0-a_1x_1}{x_0x_1(g_0-a_1)} = -\frac{tx_{n+1}g_0-qa_1x_1}{tx_{n+1}x_1(g_0-a_1)} = \frac{q}{t}y_{n+1},\\ y_i &= -\frac{x_ig_i-a_{i+1}x_{i+1}}{x_ix_{i+1}(g_i-a_{i+1})} \quad (i=1,\dots,n).\end{aligned}$$

Substituting it to the second equation of q-$P_{(n+1,n+1)}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}y_{i-1}-y_i &= \frac{x_{i-1}g_{i-1}}{x_i(x_{i-1}-x_i)} - \frac{a_i}{x_{i-1}-x_i} + \frac{b_ia_{i+1}x_{i+1}}{x_i(x_i-x_{i+1})g_i} - \frac{b_i}{x_i-x_{i+1}} \quad (i=1,\dots,n),\\ y_n-y_{n+1} &= \frac{x_ng_n}{x_{n+1}(x_n-x_{n+1})} - \frac{a_{n+1}}{x_n-x_{n+1}} + \frac{qb_{n+1}a_1x_1}{x_{n+1}(tx_{n+1}-qx_1)g_0} - \frac{b_{n+1}t}{tx_{n+1}-qx_1}.\end{aligned}$$

It implies

$$\begin{aligned}&b_i(x_{i-1}-x_i)+a_i(x_i-x_{i+1})+(x_{i-1}-x_i)(x_i-x_{i+1})(y_{i-1}-y_i)\\ &= \frac{x_{i-1}}{x_i}(x_i-x_{i+1})\overline{g_{i-1}} + b_ia_{i+1}\frac{x_{i+1}}{x_i}(x_{i-1}-x_i)\frac{1}{\overline{g_i}} \quad (i=1,\dots,n),\\ &b_{n+1}t(x_n-x_{n+1})+a_{n+1}(tx_{n+1}-x_1)+(x_n-x_{n+1})(tx_{n+1}-x_1)(y_n-y_{n+1})\\ &= \frac{x_n}{x_{n+1}}(tx_{n+1}-x_1)\overline{g_n} + b_{n+1}a_1\frac{x_1}{x_{n+1}}(x_n-x_{n+1})\frac{1}{\overline{g_0}}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

On the other hand, equation (3.6) can be rewritten to

$$\begin{aligned}y_0 &= -\frac{g_0-b_0}{x_0-x_1} - \frac{1}{x_0} = -\frac{g_0-qb_{n+1}}{tx_{n+1}-x_1} - \frac{q}{tx_{n+1}} = \frac{q}{t}y_{n+1},\\ y_i &= -\frac{g_i-b_i}{x_i-x_{i+1}} - \frac{1}{x_i} \quad (i=1,\dots,n).\end{aligned}$$

Combining it with equation (3.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
y_{i-1} - y_i &= \frac{g_i - b_i}{x_i - x_{i+1}} + \frac{1}{\underline{x_i}} - \frac{g_{i-1} - b_{i-1}}{x_{i-1} - x_i} - \frac{1}{\underline{x_{i-1}}} \\
&= \frac{g_i}{x_i - x_{i+1}} - \frac{b_i}{x_i - x_{i+1}} + \frac{b_{i-1}a_i}{(x_{i-1} - x_i)g_{i-1}} - \frac{a_i}{x_{i-1} - x_i} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n), \\
y_n - y_{n+1} &= \frac{tg_0 - qb_{n+1}t}{q(tx_{n+1} - x_n)} + \frac{1}{\underline{x_{n+1}}} - \frac{g_n - b_n}{x_n - x_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{\underline{x_n}} \\
&= \frac{tg_0}{q(tx_{n+1} - x_1)} - \frac{b_{n+1}t}{tx_{n+1} - x_1} + \frac{b_n a_{n+1}}{(x_n - x_{n+1})g_n} - \frac{a_{n+1}}{x_n - x_{n+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We can rewrite it to

$$\begin{aligned}
&b_i(x_{i-1} - x_i) + a_i(x_i - x_{i+1}) + (x_{i-1} - x_i)(x_i - x_{i+1})(y_{i-1} - y_i) \\
&= (x_{i-1} - x_i)g_i + b_{i-1}a_i(x_i - x_{i+1})\frac{1}{g_{i-1}} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n), \\
&b_{n+1}t(x_n - x_{n+1}) + a_{n+1}(tx_{n+1} - x_1) + (x_n - x_{n+1})(tx_{n+1} - x_1)(y_n - y_{n+1}) \\
&= \frac{t}{q}(x_n - x_{n+1})g_0 + b_n a_{n+1}(tx_{n+1} - x_1)\frac{1}{g_n}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Combining equations (3.8) and (3.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
t f_1 \frac{F_{n+1}}{F_1}\overline{g}_0 + tb_1 a_2 \frac{F_2}{F_1}\frac{1}{\overline{g}_1} &= tg_1 + qb_{n+1}a_1 f_1 \frac{1}{g_0}, \\
f_i \frac{F_{i-1}}{F_i}\overline{g}_{i-1} + b_i a_{i+1} f_{i-1}\frac{F_{i+1}}{F_i}\frac{1}{\overline{g}_i} &= f_{i-1}g_i + b_{i-1}a_i f_i \frac{1}{g_{i-1}} \quad (i = 2, \dots, n), \\
t\frac{F_n}{F_{n+1}}\overline{g}_n + b_{n+1}a_1 f_n \frac{F_1}{F_{n+1}}\frac{1}{\overline{g}_0} &= \frac{t}{q} f_n g_0 + b_n a_{n+1} t \frac{1}{g_n}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Since equation (3.10) is equivalent to (3.3) in the case of $n = 1$, we consider the case of $n \geq 2$. Then equation (3.10) is transformed to

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{n+1} - q^{n-1}t\left(t\frac{g_0 g_1}{f_1} + qb_{n+1}a_1\right)G_1 + q^{n-1}b_1 a_2 t^2 \frac{g_0 g_1}{f_1}G_2 &= 0, \\
G_{i-1} - \left(\frac{f_{i-1}g_{i-1}g_i}{f_i} + b_{i-1}a_i\right)G_i + b_i a_{i+1}\frac{f_{i-1}g_{i-1}g_i}{f_i}G_{i+1} &= 0 \quad (i = 2, \dots, n), \\
G_n - \left(\frac{1}{q} f_n g_n g_0 + b_n a_{n+1}\right)G_{n+1} + q^{n-1}b_{n+1}a_1 t f_n g_n g_0 G_1 &= 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

via a transformation

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{g}_i &= \frac{F_{i+1}G_i}{g_i F_i G_{i+1}} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n), \\
\overline{g}_0 &= \frac{1}{q^{n/2}t}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\overline{g}_i} = \frac{1}{q^{n-1}t^2}\frac{F_1 G_{n+1}}{g_0 F_{n+1} G_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore equation (3.11) is reduced to a system of linear equations

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\alpha_1 & \beta_1 & & & & & \\ & 1 & -\alpha_2 & \beta_2 & & & & \\ & & 1 & -\alpha_3 & \beta_3 & & & \\ & & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & & & -\alpha_{n-2} & \beta_{n-2} & \\ & & & & & 1 & -\alpha_{n-1} & \\ \beta_n & & & & & & 1 & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} G_1 \\ G_2 \\ G_3 \\ \vdots \\ G_{n-2} \\ G_{n-1} \\ G_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ -\beta_{n-1}G_{n+1} \\ \alpha_n G_{n+1} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{3.12}$$

where

$$\alpha_i = \frac{f_i g_i g_{i+1}}{f_{i+1}} + b_i a_{i+1}, \quad \beta_i = b_{i+1}a_{i+2}\frac{f_i g_i g_{i+1}}{f_{i+1}} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n-1),$$
$$\alpha_n = \frac{1}{q} f_n g_n g_0 + b_n a_{n+1}, \quad \beta_n = q^{n-1} b_{n+1} a_1 t f_n g_n g_0.$$

In fact equation (3.11) can be rewritten to

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 - b_1 a_2 G_2 &= \frac{q f_1}{t g_0 g_1}\left(\frac{1}{q^n t} G_{n+1} - b_{n+1} a_1 G_1\right), \\ G_i - b_i a_{i+1} G_{i+1} &= \frac{f_i}{f_{i-1} g_{i-1} g_i}(G_{i-1} - b_{i-1} a_i G_i) \quad (i = 2, \dots, n), \\ \frac{1}{q^n t} G_{n+1} - b_{n+1} a_1 G_1 &= \frac{1}{q^{n-1} t f_n g_n g_0}(G_n - b_n a_{n+1} G_{n+1}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

and the first equation of (3.13) is derived from a combination of the other equations as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{q^n t} G_{n+1} - b_{n+1} a_1 G_1 &= \frac{1}{q^{n-1} t f_n g_n g_0}(G_n - b_n a_{n+1} G_{n+1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{q^{n-1} t f_{n-1} g_{n-1} g_n^2 g_0}(G_{n-1} - b_{n-1} a_n G_n) \\ &\vdots \\ &= \frac{1}{q^{n-1} t f_1 g_1 g_2^2 \dots g_n^2 g_0}(G_1 - b_1 a_2 G_2) \\ &= \frac{t g_0 g_1}{q f_1}(G_1 - b_1 a_2 G_2). \end{aligned}$$

We will solve system (3.12) for G_i $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ in order to derive equation (3.3). For this purpose we introduce the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *The determinant of the coefficient matrix of system* (3.12) *is given by*

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & -\alpha_1 & \beta_1 & & & & & \\ & 1 & -\alpha_2 & \beta_2 & & & & \\ & & 1 & -\alpha_3 & \beta_3 & & & \\ & & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & & & -\alpha_{n-2} & \beta_{n-2} & \\ & & & & & 1 & -\alpha_{n-1} & \\ \beta_n & & & & & & 1 & \end{vmatrix} = 1 + q^{n/2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{n+1} a_1 \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} b_k a_{k+1} \frac{\prod_{l=j+1}^{n} g_l}{\prod_{l=1}^{j-1} g_l} f_j.$$

Proof. We obtain

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & -\alpha_1 & \beta_1 & & & & \\ & 1 & -\alpha_2 & \beta_2 & & & \\ & & 1 & -\alpha_3 & \beta_3 & & \\ & & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & & -\alpha_{n-2} & \beta_{n-2} \\ & & & & & 1 & -\alpha_{n-1} \\ \beta_n & & & & & & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 1 + (-1)^{n-1}\beta_n\Delta_{n-1}.$$

where

$$\Delta_i = \begin{vmatrix} -\alpha_1 & \beta_1 & & & & \\ 1 & -\alpha_2 & \beta_2 & & & \\ & 1 & -\alpha_3 & \beta_3 & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & -\alpha_{i-1} & \beta_{i-1} \\ & & & & 1 & -\alpha_i \end{vmatrix},$$

via a cofactor expansion. The determinant of the tridiagonal matrix Δ_i satisfies a recurrence relation

$$\Delta_0 = 1, \quad \Delta_1 = -\alpha_1, \quad \Delta_i = -\alpha_i\Delta_{i-1} - \beta_{i-1}\Delta_{i-2} \quad (i = 2, 3, \ldots).$$

By solving this relation, we obtain

$$\Delta_i = (-1)^i \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} b_k a_{k+1} \prod_{l=j}^{i} g_l g_{l+1} \frac{f_j}{f_{i+1}} \quad (i = 2, 3, \ldots).$$

It implies

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{n-1}\beta_n\Delta_{n-1} &= q^{n-1} b_{n+1} a_1 t f_n g_n g_0 \sum_{j=1}^{n} \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} b_k a_{k+1} \prod_{l=j}^{n-1} g_l g_{l+1} \frac{f_j}{f_n} \\ &= q^{n/2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{n+1} a_1 \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} b_k a_{k+1} \frac{\prod_{l=j+1}^{n} g_l}{\prod_{l=1}^{j-1} g_l} f_j \end{aligned}$$

□

Thanks to Lemma 3.2 we can find that system (3.12) admits only one solution for G_i ($i = 1, \ldots, n$). Hence we only have to verify that

$$G_i = \sum_{j=i}^{n} \prod_{k=i}^{j-1} b_k a_{k+1} \frac{\prod_{l=j+1}^{n} g_l}{\prod_{l=1}^{j-1} g_l} f_j + q^{n/2} t \prod_{k=i}^{n} b_k a_{k+1} + q^n t \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{b_{n+1} a_1 \prod_{k=1}^{n} b_k a_{k+1}}{\prod_{k=j}^{i-1} b_k a_{k+1}} \frac{\prod_{l=j+1}^{n} g_l}{\prod_{l=1}^{j-1} g_l} f_j,$$

satisfy system (3.12). It is shown since

$$\begin{aligned} G_i - b_i a_{i+1} G_{i+1} &= \left(1 - q^n t b_{n+1} a_1 \prod_{k=1}^{n} b_k a_{k+1}\right) \frac{\prod_{l=i+1}^{n} g_l}{\prod_{l=1}^{i-1} g_l} f_i \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n), \\ \frac{1}{q^n t} G_{n+1} - b_{n+1} a_1 G_1 &= \frac{1}{q^{n/2}} \left(1 - q^n t b_{n+1} a_1 \prod_{k=1}^{n} b_k a_{k+1}\right), \end{aligned}$$

satisfy equation (3.13). From the above we have derived equation (3.3).

In the last we prove equation (3.4). The first equation of (3.7) is rewritten to

$$\overline{tx_{n+1} - x_1} = -\frac{(b_0 - \overline{g}_0)(\overline{g}_0 - a_1)}{\overline{g}_0} \frac{tx_{n+1}x_1}{tx_{n+1} - x_1},$$

from which we obtain equation (3.4) immediately.

3.3. **Relationship between two types of dependent variables.** In equation (3.1) we define the dependent variables (f_i, g_i, h) as rational functions in $(\underline{x_j}, y_j)$ with constraint (2.1). Conversely, the dependent variables $(\underline{x_j}, y_j)$ are given as rational functions in (f_i, g_i, h). We obtain

$$\frac{1}{\underline{x_1}} - \frac{1}{\underline{tx_{n+1}}} = -\frac{(b_0 - g_0)(g_0 - a_1)}{g_0 h},$$

from equation (3.4) and

$$\frac{1}{\underline{x_{i+1}}} - \frac{1}{\underline{x_i}} = -\frac{t(b_i - g_i)(g_i - a_{i+1})}{f_i g_i h}. \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n),$$

from equation (3.7). They imply

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\underline{x_i}} &= \frac{t}{q-t} \frac{(b_0 - g_0)(g_0 - a_1)}{g_0 h} \\ &+ \frac{t}{q-t} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{t(b_j - g_j)(g_j - a_{j+1})}{f_j g_j h} + \frac{q}{q-t} \sum_{j=i}^{n} \frac{t(b_j - g_j)(g_j - a_{j+1})}{f_j g_j h} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n+1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

We also obtain

$$y_i = -\frac{g_i/\underline{x_{i+1}} - a_{i+1}/\underline{x_i}}{g_i - a_{i+1}} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n),$$

from equation (3.5). The rest variable y_{n+1} is given by constraint (2.1).

Remark 3.3. *Equation* (3.14) *allows us to express the backward q-shifts* $\underline{f_1}, \ldots, \underline{f_n}$ *as rational functions in* (f_i, g_i)*. Also we can express the backward q-shifts* $\underline{g_1}, \ldots, \underline{g_n}$ *as rational functions in* (f_i, g_i) *by solving equation* (3.10) *for* $g_1, \ldots, g_n$*. We don't give its detail here.*

We next state a relationship between the variables (f_i, g_i, h) and the ones (x_j, y_j). Equation (3.9) is rewritten to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q}{t} y_{n+1} - y_1 &= t(g_1 - b_1)\frac{1}{f_1 h} + a_1 \left(q b_{n+1} \frac{1}{g_0} - 1 \right) \frac{1}{h} \\ y_{i-1} - y_i &= t(g_i - b_i)\frac{1}{f_i h} + a_i t \left(b_{i-1} \frac{1}{g_{i-1}} - 1 \right) \frac{1}{f_{i-1} h} \quad (i = 2, \ldots, n), \\ y_n - y_{n+1} &= \frac{t}{q}(g_0 - q b_{n+1})\frac{1}{h} + a_{n+1} t \left(b_n \frac{1}{g_n} - 1 \right) \frac{1}{f_n h}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Recall that

$$tx_{n+1} - x_1 = h, \quad x_i - x_{i+1} = \frac{f_i h}{t} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n).$$

Hence we can give the variables (x_j, y_j) as rational functions in (f_i, g_i, h). Conversely, since equation (3.15) admits only one solution for $g_1, \ldots, g_n$, the variables (f_i, g_i, h) are given as rational functions in (x_j, y_j). Then one constraint between the variables (x_j, y_j) is obtained together. We

don't give their explicit formulas here. Those facts allows us to express the backward q-shifts $(\underline{x_j}, \underline{y_j})$ as functions in (x_j, y_j).

4. Affine Weyl group symmetry

As is seen in Section 2, the system q-$P_{(n+1,n+1)}$ is invariant under the action of the group of symmetries $\langle r_0, \dots, r_{2n+1}, \pi \rangle \simeq \widetilde{W}(A^{(1)}_{2n+1})$. This action can be restricted to system (3.2), (3.3).

Theorem 4.1. *The birational transformations $r_0, \dots, r_{2n+1}, \pi$ defined by* (2.3), (2.4) *and* (2.5) *act on the dependent variables f_i, g_i $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ as follows.*

$$r_{2j-2}(f_i) = f_i, \quad r_{2j-2}(g_i) = g_i, \tag{4.1}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, n+1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&r_1(f_1) = f_1 \frac{R_1^{a,a,a}}{R_1^{b,a,b}}, \quad r_1(g_1) = g_1 \frac{R_1^{b,a,a}}{R_1^{b,b,b}}, \quad r_1(f_i) = f_i \frac{R_1^{b,a,a}}{R_1^{b,a,b}}, \quad r_1(g_i) = g_i \quad (i \neq 1),\\
&r_{2j-1}(f_{j-1}) = f_{j-1} \frac{R_j^{b,a,b}}{R_j^{b,a,a}}, \quad r_{2j-1}(g_{j-1}) = g_{j-1} \frac{R_j^{b,b,b}}{R_j^{b,a,a}}, \quad r_{2j-1}(f_j) = f_j \frac{R_j^{a,a,a}}{R_j^{b,a,a}}, \quad r_{2j-1}(g_j) = g_j \frac{R_j^{b,a,a}}{R_j^{b,b,b}},\\
&r_{2j-1}(f_i) = f_i, \quad r_{2j-1}(g_i) = g_i \quad (i \neq j-1, j),\\
&r_{2n+1}(f_n) = f_n \frac{R_{n+1}^{b,a,b}}{R_{n+1}^{a,a,a}}, \quad r_{2n+1}(g_n) = g_n \frac{R_{n+1}^{b,b,b}}{R_{n+1}^{b,a,a}}, \quad r_{2n+1}(f_i) = f_i \frac{R_{n+1}^{b,a,a}}{R_{n+1}^{a,a,a}}, \quad r_{2n+1}(g_i) = g_i \quad (i \neq n),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

for $j = 2, \dots, n$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\pi(f_i) = \frac{q^2}{t} \frac{(g_i R_i^* - b_{i+1} R_{i+1}^*)(b_{i+1} - g_{i+1})(R_{i+1}^* + 1 - \frac{t}{q}) f_1}{(g_0 R_0^* - b_1 R_1^*)(b_1 - g_1)(R_1^* + 1 - \frac{t}{q}) f_{i+1}}, \quad \pi(g_i) = \frac{a_{i+1}}{q^{\rho_1}} \frac{b_{i+1} R_{i+1}^*}{g_i R_i^*} \quad (i \neq n),\\
&\pi(f_n) = q \frac{(g_n R_n^* - b_{n+1} R_0^*)(b_0 - g_0)(R_0^* + 1 - \frac{t}{q}) f_1}{(g_0 R_0^* - b_1 R_1^*)(b_1 - g_1)(R_1^* + 1 - \frac{t}{q}) f_0}, \quad \pi(g_n) = \frac{a_{n+1}}{q^{\rho_1}} \frac{b_{n+1} R_0^*}{g_n R_n^*},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
R_j^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} &= (g_j - \alpha_j) \frac{1}{f_j} + \left(\beta_j b_{j-1} \frac{1}{g_{j-1}} - \gamma_j \right) \frac{1}{f_{j-1}} \quad (j \neq n+1),\\
R_{n+1}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} &= \frac{1}{q}(g_0 - q\alpha_{n+1}) + \left(\beta_{n+1} b_n \frac{1}{g_n} - \gamma_{n+1} \right) \frac{1}{f_n},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
R_i^* &= -\frac{f_i}{b_i - g_i} \left(\frac{t}{q} \sum_{j=1}^{i} R_j^{b,a,a} + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n+1} R_j^{b,a,a} \right)\\
&= \frac{f_i}{b_i - g_i} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{\frac{t}{q}(1 - \frac{a_{j+1}}{g_j})(b_j - g_j)}{f_j} + \frac{(\frac{t}{q} - \frac{a_{i+1}}{g_i})(b_i - g_i)}{f_i} + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \frac{(1 - \frac{a_{j+1}}{g_j})(b_j - g_j)}{f_j} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we set $f_0 = t$.

Recall that

$$b_0 = qb_{n+1}, \quad g_0 = \frac{1}{q^{(n-2)/2}tg_1 \dots g_n}, \quad q^{\rho_1} = (q^n a_1 b_1 \dots a_{n+1}b_{n+1})^{1/(n+1)}.$$

In this section we prove this theorem.

4.1. **Proof of action** (4.1)**.** The action of r_{2j-2} on the dependent variables with the exception of y_{j-1} is trivial for any $j = 1, \dots, n+1$. Hence we only have to verify that $r_{2j-2}(g_{j-1}) = g_{j-1}$ is satisfied. And it is shown by using the first equation of q-$P_{(n+1,n+1)}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} r_{2j-2}(g_{j-1}) &= b_{j-1}\frac{\underline{x_j}}{\underline{x_{j-1}}}\frac{1+\underline{x_{j-1}}y_{j-1}-\frac{b_{j-1}-a_j}{x_{j-1}-x_j}\underline{x_{j-1}}}{1+\underline{x_j}y_{j-1}-\frac{b_{j-1}-a_j}{x_{j-1}-x_j}\underline{x_j}} \\ &= b_{j-1}\frac{\underline{x_j}}{\underline{x_{j-1}}}\frac{a_j(1+\underline{x_{j-1}}y_{j-1})}{b_{j-1}(1+\underline{x_j}y_{j-1})} \\ &= g_{j-1}. \end{aligned}$$

4.2. **Proof of action** (4.2)**.** The action of r_{2j-1} on the dependent variables with the exception of x_j is trivial for any $j = 1, \dots, n+1$. Hence we have to investigate the following actions:

$$\begin{aligned} &r_1(f_1), \quad r_1(g_1), \quad r_1(f_i) \quad (i \neq 1), \\ &r_{2j-1}(f_{j-1}), \quad r_{2j-1}(g_{j-1}), \quad r_{2j-1}(f_j), \quad r_{2j-1}(g_j), \\ &r_{2n+1}(f_n), \quad r_{2n+1}(g_n), \quad r_{2n+1}(f_i) \quad (i \neq n). \end{aligned}$$

We first consider the action $r_{2j-1}(f_j)$. It is described in terms of the variables x_i, y_i as

$$r_{2j-1}(f_j) = t\frac{x_j - \frac{a_j-b_j}{y_{j-1}-y_j} - x_{j+1}}{tx_{n+1}-x_1} = t\frac{(x_j-x_{j+1})(y_{j-1}-y_j)-(a_j-b_j)}{(tx_{n+1}-x_1)(y_{j-1}-y_j)}. \tag{4.4}$$

Substituting equation (3.15) to (4.4), we obtain

$$r_{2j-1}(f_j) = f_j\frac{(g_j-a_j)\frac{1}{f_j}+a_j(b_{j-1}\frac{1}{g_{j-1}}-1)\frac{1}{f_{j-1}}}{(g_j-b_j)\frac{1}{f_j}+a_j(b_{j-1}\frac{1}{g_{j-1}}-1)\frac{1}{f_{j-1}}} \quad (j = 1, \dots, n+1).$$

The other actions on the variables $f_1, \dots, f_n$ can be shown in a similar way.

We next consider the action $r_{2j-1}(g_j)$. By using the system q-$P_{(n+1,n+1)}$ twice, we can describe it in terms of the variables x_i, y_i as

$$\begin{aligned} r_{2j-1}(g_j) &= a_{j+1}\frac{\underline{x_{j+1}}\left(1+\underline{x_j}y_j-\frac{a_j-b_j}{\underline{y_{j-1}}-\underline{y_j}}y_j\right)}{\left(\underline{x_j}-\frac{a_j-b_j}{\underline{y_{j-1}}-\underline{y_j}}\right)(1+\underline{x_{j+1}}y_j)} \\ &= g_j\frac{a_j\underline{x_j}(y_{j-1}-y_j)}{b_j(1+\underline{x_j}y_{j-1})-a_j(1+\underline{x_j}y_j)} \\ &= g_j\frac{(x_{j-1}-x_j)(y_{j-1}-y_j)}{(x_{j-1}-x_j)(y_{j-1}-y_j)-(a_j-b_j)(b_{j-1}\frac{1}{g_{j-1}}-1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Then, substituting equation (3.15) to (4.5), we obtain

$$r_{2j-1}(g_j) = g_j \frac{(g_j - b_j)\frac{1}{f_j} + a_j(b_{j-1}\frac{1}{g_{j-1}} - 1)\frac{1}{f_{j-1}}}{(g_j - b_j)\frac{1}{f_j} + b_j(b_{j-1}\frac{1}{g_{j-1}} - 1)\frac{1}{f_{j-1}}} \quad (j = 1, \dots, n+1).$$

The other actions on the variables $g_1, \dots, g_n$ can be shown in a similar way.

4.3. **Proof of action** (4.3)**.** The action $\pi(f_i)$ is rewritten by the second equation of q-$P_{(n+1,n+1)}$ and equation (3.6) to

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(f_i) &= \frac{q^2}{t}\frac{\underline{y_i} - \underline{y_{i+1}}}{\underline{y_0} - \underline{y_1}} = \frac{q^2}{t}\frac{\underline{x_1}}{\underline{x_{i+1}}}\frac{\frac{g_i f_i}{b_i - g_i}y_i - \frac{b_{i+1}f_{i+1}}{b_{i+1}-g_{i+1}}y_{i+1}}{\frac{g_0 t}{b_0 - g_0}y_0 - \frac{b_1 f_1}{b_1 - g_1}y_1} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n-1), \\ \pi(f_n) &= \frac{q^2}{t}\frac{\underline{y_n} - \underline{y_{n+1}}}{\underline{y_0} - \underline{y_1}} = q\frac{\underline{x_1}}{\underline{x_0}}\frac{\frac{g_n f_n}{b_n - g_n}y_n - \frac{b_{n+1}t}{b_0 - g_0}y_0}{\frac{g_0 t}{b_0 - g_0}y_0 - \frac{b_1 f_1}{b_1 - g_1}y_1}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

On the other hand, we obtain

$$\frac{(\frac{q}{t} - 1)(x_0 - x_1)}{q}\frac{1}{\underline{x_i}} = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1}\frac{\frac{t}{q}(1 - \frac{a_{j+1}}{g_j})(b_j - g_j)}{f_j} + \sum_{j=i}^{n}\frac{(1 - \frac{a_{j+1}}{g_j})(b_j - g_j)}{f_j} \quad (i = 0, \dots, n), \tag{4.7}$$

from equation (3.7) and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(\frac{t}{q} - 1)(x_0 - x_1)}{t}y_i = &\sum_{j=0}^{i-1}\frac{\frac{t}{q}(1 - \frac{a_{j+1}}{g_j})(b_j - g_j)}{f_j} \\ &+ \frac{(\frac{t}{q} - \frac{a_{i+1}}{g_i})(b_i - g_i)}{f_i} + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n}\frac{(1 - \frac{a_{j+1}}{g_j})(b_j - g_j)}{f_j} \quad (i = 0, \dots, n), \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

from equation (3.15). Substituting equations (4.7) and (4.8) to (4.6), we can show the action $\pi(f_i)$.

The action $\pi(g_i)$ is rewritten by equation (3.6) to

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(g_i) &= \frac{b_{i+1}}{q^{\rho_1}}\frac{y_{i+1}(1 + \underline{x_i}y_i)}{y_i(1 + \underline{x_{i+1}}y_{i+1})} = \frac{a_{i+1}}{q^{\rho_1}}\frac{\frac{b_{i+1}f_{i+1}}{b_{i+1}-g_{i+1}}y_{i+1}}{\frac{g_i f_i}{b_i - g_i}y_i} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n-1), \\ \pi(g_n) &= \frac{b_{n+1}}{q^{\rho_1}}\frac{y_{n+1}(1 + \underline{x_n}y_n)}{y_n(1 + \underline{x_{n+1}}y_{n+1})} = \frac{a_{n+1}}{q^{\rho_1}}\frac{\frac{b_{n+1}t}{b_0 - g_0}y_0}{\frac{g_n f_n}{b_n - g_n}y_n}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Substituting equation (4.8) to (4.9), we can show the action $\pi(g_i)$.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to express his gratitude to Professors Masatoshi Noumi and Yasuhiko Yamada for helpful comments and advices. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 15K04911.

References

[1] K. Fuji and T. Suzuki, *Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies of type A and fourth order Painlevé systems*, Funkcial. Ekvac. **53** (2010) 143–167.

[2] T. Suzuki and K. Fuji, *Higher order Painlevé systems of type A, Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies and Fuchsian systems*, RIMS Kokyuroku Bessatsu **B30** (2012) 181–208.

[3] R. Garnier, *Sur des équations différentielles du troisiéme ordre dont l'intégrale est uniform et sur une classe d'équations nouvelles d'ordre supérieur dont l'intégrale générale a ses point critiques fixés*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **29** (1912) 1–126.

[4] M. Jimbo and H. Sakai, *A q-analog of the sixth Painlevé equation*, Let. Math. Phys. **38** (1996) 145–154.

[5] H. Kawakami, *Matrix Painlevé systems*, J. Math. Phys. **56** (2015) 033503.

[6] K. Kajiwara, M. Noumi and Y. Yamada, *Discrete dynamical systems with $W(A^{(1)}_{m-1} \times A^{(1)}_{n-1})$ symmetry*, Lett. Math. Phys. **60** (2002) 211–219.

[7] T. Masuda, *On the rational solutions of q-Painlevé V equation*, Nagoya Math. J. **169** (2003) 119–143.

[8] T. Masuda, *A q-analogue of the higher order Painlevé type equations with the affine Weyl group symmetry of type D*, Funkcial. Ekvac. **58** (2015) 405–430.

[9] H. Nagao and Y. Yamada, *Study of q-Garnier system by Padé method*, arXiv:1601.01099.

[10] H. Sakai, *A q-analog of the Garnier system*, Funkcial. Ekvac. **48** (2005) 237–297.

[11] H. Sakai, *Isomonodromic deformation and 4-dimensional Painlevé type equations*, UTMS **2010-17** (Univ. of Tokyo, 2010) 1–21.

[12] Y. Sasano, *Higher order Painlevé equations of type $D^{(1)}_l$*, RIMS Koukyuroku **1473** (2006) 143–163.

[13] T. Suzuki, *A particular solution of a Painlevé system in terms of the hypergeometric function ${}_{n+1}F_n$*, SIGMA **6** (2010) 078.

[14] T. Suzuki, *A class of higher order Painlevé systems arising from integrable hierarchies of type A*, AMS Contemp. Math. **593** (2013) 125–141.

[15] T. Suzuki, *Six-dimensional Painlevé systems and their particular solutions in terms of rigid systems*, J. Math. Phys. **55** (2014) 102902.

[16] T. Suzuki, *A q-analogue of the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy of type A and q-Painlevé system*, AMS Contemp. Math. **651** (2015) 25–38.

[17] T. Takenawa, *Weyl group symmetry of type $D^{(1)}_5$ in the q-Painlevé V equation*, Funkcial. Ekvac. **46** (2003) 173–186.

[18] T. Tsuda, *On an integrable system of q-difference equations satisfied by the universal characters: its Lax formalism and an application to q-Painlevé equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **293** (2010) 347–359.

[19] T. Tsuda, *UC hierarchy and monodromy preserving deformation*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **690** (2014) 1–34.

[20] T. Tsuda, *Hypergeometric solution of a certain polynomial Hamiltonian system of isomonodromy type*, Quart. J. Math. **63** (2012) 489–505.

Department of Mathematics, Kindai University, 3-4-1, Kowakae, Higashi-Osaka, Osaka 577-8502, Japan
E-mail address: suzuki@math.kindai.ac.jp